

1. CONTACT INFORMATION

1. FIRST NAME:

Number of Respondents	9
-----------------------	---

Number of respondents who skipped this question	0
---	---

2. SURNAME:

Number of Respondents	9
-----------------------	---

Number of respondents who skipped this question	0
---	---

3. ORGANISATION:

Number of Respondents	9
-----------------------	---




Number of respondents who skipped this question	0
---	---




4. EMAIL ADDRESS:



Number of Respondents	9
-----------------------	---




Number of respondents who skipped this question	0
---	---




2. INITIAL THOUGHTS...




5. HOW DID YOU FIND THE WHOLE EVENT?		% OF RESPONDENTS	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS
Excellent		44.44%	4
Good		44.44%	4
Average		11.11%	1
Poor		0.00%	0
Number of respondents			9
Number of respondents who skipped this question			0

6. WHAT ARE YOUR THOUGHTS ABOUT THE VENUE?		% OF RESPONDENTS	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS
Excellent		44.44%	4
Good		44.44%	4
Average		11.11%	1
Poor		0.00%	0
Number of respondents			9
Number of respondents who skipped this question			0

7. HOW WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE FOOD AND REFRESHMENTS?		% OF RESPONDENTS	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS
Excellent		33.33%	3
Good		66.67%	6
Average		0.00%	0
Poor		0.00%	0
Number of respondents			9
Number of respondents who skipped this question			0

8. HOW WAS ACCESSIBILITY AT THE VENUE?		% OF RESPONDENTS	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS
Excellent		44.44%	4
Good		44.44%	4
Average		11.11%	1
Poor		0.00%	0
Number of respondents			9
Number of respondents who skipped this question			0

9. HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE MARKETING OF THE CONFERENCE?		% OF RESPONDENTS	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS
Excellent		33.33%	3
Good		55.56%	5
Average		0.00%	0
Poor		11.11%	1
Number of respondents			9
Number of respondents who skipped this question			0

10. HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE ADMINISTRATION PRIOR TO THE CONFERENCE?		% OF RESPONDENTS	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS
Excellent		22.22%	2
Good		55.56%	5
Average		22.22%	2
Poor		0.00%	0
Number of respondents			9
Number of respondents who skipped this question			0

11. HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE ORGANISATION ON THE DAY?		% OF RESPONDENTS	NUMBER OF RESPONDENTS
Excellent		44.44%	4
Good		33.33%	3
Average		11.11%	1
Poor		11.11%	1
Number of respondents			9
Number of respondents who skipped this question			0

3. SOCIAL IMPACT...

12. HOW DID YOU FIND OUT ABOUT THIS CONFERENCE?	
Number of Respondents	7
Number of respondents who skipped this question	2

13. WHAT ARE YOUR COMMENTS ON THE KEYNOTE SPEAKERS?	
Number of Respondents	7
Number of respondents who skipped this question	2

14. WHAT ARE YOUR COMMENTS ON THE WORKSHOPS YOU ATTENDED?	
Number of Respondents	7
Number of respondents who skipped this question	2

15. WHAT DID YOU FIND MOST USEFUL ABOUT THE DAY?

Number of Respondents 7

Number of respondents who skipped this question 2

16. WHAT DID YOU FIND LEAST USEFUL ABOUT THE DAY?

Number of Respondents 7

Number of respondents who skipped this question 2

17. WHAT, IF ANYTHING, WILL YOU DO DIFFERENTLY AS A RESULT OF YOUR ATTENDANCE AT THIS CONFERENCE?

Number of Respondents 7

Number of respondents who skipped this question 2

18. WHAT IS THE ONE MAJOR LEARNING POINT THAT YOU WILL TAKE FROM THIS CONFERENCE?

Number of Respondents 7

Number of respondents who skipped this question 2

19. WHAT NEW CONTACTS HAVE YOU MADE AS A RESULT OF THIS CONFERENCE?

Number of Respondents 7

Number of respondents who skipped this question 2

20. WHAT IMPACT DO YOU BELIEVE OUR CONFERENCES HAVE ON THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SECTOR AS A WHOLE?

Number of Respondents 7

Number of respondents who skipped this question 2

21. WHAT AREAS WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE NO OFFENCE! CIC INFLUENCING, AND HOW?

Number of Respondents 7

Number of respondents who skipped this question 2

22. WHO SPECIFICALLY (IF APPROPRIATE) OR WHAT TYPE OF PERSON WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE ATTEND OUR CONFERENCES?

Number of Respondents 7

Number of respondents who skipped this question 2

23. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SUBMIT A TESTIMONIAL TO APPEAR ON OUR WEBSITE PLEASE DO SO HERE:

Number of Respondents 0

Number of respondents who skipped this question 9

1. SOCIAL IMPACT...

12. HOW DID YOU FIND OUT ABOUT THIS CONFERENCE?

- 1 Through a colleague at Rampton
- 2 Website
- 3 Via email and Twitter
- 4 Email
- 5 Invited to speak and by e-mail
- 6 I am a member of No Offence and keep up to date with the site. I was glad that Richard contacted SEHL about the conference as although I had flagged it as relevant with the organisation Richards contact was helpful in supporting the attendance.
- 7 Colleague forwarded the details

13. WHAT ARE YOUR COMMENTS ON THE KEYNOTE SPEAKERS?

- 1 Very good speakers, with variety of backgrounds and professions
- 2 Positive - lots of engagement and lessons shared regarding key issues within offender mental health services
- 3 Very interesting subjects covered. Very valuable work being done. Delivery could have been a little more varied.
- 4 relevant, clear, thought provoking
- 5 good range of interesting and varied subjects.
- 6 Terrific! Engaging and interesting. I feel the afternoon flagged a little and am not sure if that was because Eddie did not attend the morning and therefore had no context for his presentation, which meant repetition and irrelevance.....
- 7 Very interesting but I felt it was wrong that they were allowed to overrun and time frames were not adhered to. I also felt the debate was extremely poor in that it was mainly the guest speakers speaking and not much opportunity for audience participation. I felt more people had questions but did not get to ask them.

15. WHAT DID YOU FIND MOST USEFUL ABOUT THE DAY?

- 1 Networking opportunities
- 2 Paul Jenkin's over view of challenges faced with the panel discussions post that session.
- 3 Finding out more about the changes in the CJS and MH and how much there is to be done to bring more co-ordination between the two
- 4 Networking, presentations by Gael Scott and Prof Eddie Kane
- 5 Networking keeping up with the changes in the NHS - Mike Harris L&D from Eddie Kane However the other stuff was equally valuable
- 6 Connecting with people
- 7 The talk by Gael

16. WHAT DID YOU FIND LEAST USEFUL ABOUT THE DAY?

1 NA

2 Nothing

3 Nothing

4 Nothing

5 Nothing

6 The distance travelled, although it is not a real issue as I am used to a significant amount of driving anyway.

7 The overrunning of time.

17. WHAT, IF ANYTHING, WILL YOU DO DIFFERENTLY AS A RESULT OF YOUR ATTENDANCE AT THIS CONFERENCE?

- 1 na
- 2 Gave valuable background to my understanding of the issues but did not give me a "eureka" moment which I could go away and do something different.
- 3 Work harder at raising awareness of autism and the needs of those with autism in both the mental health system and the criminal justice system
- 4 maintain contact with No Offence
- 5 Bring some of my staff
- 6 I will connect with the people I met and hope to be able to further the relationships
- 7 nothing

18. WHAT IS THE ONE MAJOR LEARNING POINT THAT YOU WILL TAKE FROM THIS CONFERENCE?

- 1 Heightened awareness of No Offence
- 2 Links to Probation Trusts and working with them.
- 3 Everyone has to do their bit and try to work collaboratively
- 4 There is clear evidence supporting the use of Diversion schemes, providing they follow particular organisational processes.
- 5 L & D is not working
- 6 That commissioning issues are similar for most people.
- 7 MHTR's

19. WHAT NEW CONTACTS HAVE YOU MADE AS A RESULT OF THIS CONFERENCE?

- 1 No offence colleagues Huntercombe hospitals
- 2 Paul Jenkins
- 3 Provider and regulator
- 4 Lots!
- 5 Fe people recontacted with. A number of others are now going to visit the service
- 6 Several excellent new connections and a meeting in person with some existing connections.
- 7 none

20. WHAT IMPACT DO YOU BELIEVE OUR CONFERENCES HAVE ON THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE SECTOR AS A WHOLE?

- 1 Training promoting awareness
- 2 Positive
- 3 More collaborative thinking?
- 4 Well evidenced presentations/discussions and wide range of people with expertise in their field gives credibility to influence.
- 5 Re-in forces the message that it is a live issue and a growing challenge - mental Health and offending
- 6 They promote cohesion across the multiple services and organisations and that has to be the way forward. It is a competitive market and organisations need to learn how to interface effectively and create pathways without barriers. These conferences are a major part of that process.
- 7 not sure

21. WHAT AREAS WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE NO OFFENCE! CIC INFLUENCING, AND HOW?

- 1 Improved Pathways
- 2 Ability to drive a intergrated budget for offenders from secure accomodation to the community.
- 3 Please consider the individuals with an autistic spectrum condition who require very specific types of support. Early intervention wherever they are in the criminal justice system can make all the difference.
- 4 Encouraging implementation of Bradley report.
- 5 Prison Mental Health a conference for commissioners
- 6 I think it matters that the areas of influence are eclectic. Drawing together diverse individuals and organisations ultimately has a greater overall effect than over-focussing.
- 7 not sure

22. WHO SPECIFICALLY (IF APPROPRIATE) OR WHAT TYPE OF PERSON WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE ATTEND OUR CONFERENCES?

- 1 More healthcare staff
- 2 Commissioners - health or local authority
- 3 Police/magistrates with experience of autism
- 4 Anyone with experience/expertise in the field. Good to hear from practitoners.
- 5 NHS \ Noms Commissioners
- 6 It would be good to bag a few big names like Sean Duggan and perhaps Keith Bradley, but mainly for their PR draw and experience. I think the collection of people who attended Retford on 23rd April were a good diverse group with some shared experiences and that made for a good conference experience. The mix was good.
- 7 not sure